### Towards the String Dual of N=1 SQCD-like Theories

based on hep-th/0602027 (RC, C. Núñez, A. Paredes)

#### **Roberto Casero** CPhT - Ecole Polytechnique and CNRS





RTN Workshop Napoli - October 13<sup>th</sup> 2006

### Motivations

The string/gauge correspondence proposes that



and might help us understand strong coupling effects:

- confinement
- gaugino condensation
- mass gap

#### Motivations

## How do we include flavors in the string/gauge correspondence?



#### We need to insert probe flavor branes

Karch Katz 2002





Real-world QCD has  $N_f \sim N_c$  flavors: we want to go beyond the probe approximation

> Otherwise we would miss many interesting phenomena, like: baryons, screening, ...

#### Motivations

#### Real-world QCD has $N_f \sim N_c$ flavors: we want to go beyond the probe approximation



What does the dual background look like? What are its characteristics?



Background symmetries:  $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ The spectrum also contains KK modes

and  $M_{KK} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$  !

MN background:  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $F_3$ 



# Adding many flavors to MN $U(I)_R$

MN background:  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $F_3$  preserved



#### MN background: $g_{\mu\nu}$ , $\varphi$ , $F_3$



MN background:  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $F_3$ 



since there are many D5 flavor branes their backreaction on the background cannot be neglected closed strings  $S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + open strings$  $+ T_5 \sum^{N_f} \left( -\int_{\mathcal{M}_6} d^6 x e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} \sqrt{-\hat{g}_{(6)}} + \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} P[C_6] \right)$ 

#### MN background: $g_{\mu\nu}$ , $\varphi$ , $F_3$



MN background:  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $F_3$ 



The smearing of the D5 flavor branes restores all the original symmetries of the background

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) \right) + C_{(10)}^2 \left($$

 $-\frac{T_5 N_f}{(4\pi)^2} \left( \int d^{10} x \sin \theta \sin \tilde{\theta} e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} \sqrt{-\hat{g}_{(6)}} - \int Vol(Y_4) \wedge C_{(6)} \right)$ smearing

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{12} e^{\phi} F_3^2 \right) + \frac{T_5 N_f}{(4\pi)^2} \left( \int d^{10}x \sin \theta \sin \tilde{\theta} e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} \sqrt{-\hat{g}_{(6)}} - \int Vol(Y_4) \wedge C_{(6)} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}} \int_{S^3} F_{(3)} = N_c T_5$$
$$dF_3 = \frac{N_f}{4} \sin\theta \sin\tilde{\theta} d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\phi}$$

We solve the first-order BPS equations numerically All solutions are singular at the origin

### N=I SQCD + quartic + ...

The MN background is dual to N=1 SYM plus KK modes

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=1 \text{ SYM}} + \text{kinetic terms} + \mu \Phi_{KK}^2 + \kappa \tilde{Q} \Phi_{KK} Q + \dots$$
  
we add massless quarks

If we integrate out the massive KK modes, N=1 SQCD receives a quartic superpotential contribution

$$\mathcal{W} \sim \frac{\kappa^2}{\mu} \tilde{Q} Q \tilde{Q} Q + \dots$$

- The flavor group is explicitly broken  $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \rightarrow SU(N_f)_{diag}$ 

 $-\mu \rightarrow \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{``pure'' } N=I \text{ SQCD } \longrightarrow \text{ non-critical strings}$ 

### **Gauge theory features**

#### **β** function

For large  $\rho$  we have

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{g_{sqcd}^2} \sim N_c(1-\frac{x}{2})\rho + \dots \qquad \log\frac{\mu}{\Lambda} \sim -\frac{1}{3}\log a \sim -\frac{1}{3}\log b \sim -\frac{2}{3}\rho$$

$$\beta = \frac{dg_{sqcd}}{d\log\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}} = -\frac{3g_{sqcd}^3}{32\pi^2}(2N_c - N_f) + \dots$$

#### U(I)<sub>R</sub> breaking

The U(I)<sub>R</sub> symmetry is associated to shifts of the  $\psi$  angle  $\psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\epsilon$ 

This changes  $\theta_{sqcd} \sim \theta_{sqcd} + 2\pi n$  unless  $\varepsilon = \frac{2\pi n}{2N_c - N_f}$   $n = 1, 2, ..., 2N_c - N_f$ 

This matches the anomaly of the  $U(I)_R$  in N=I SQCD

$$U(1)_R \to \mathbb{Z}_{2N_c-N_f} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$$



#### Wilson loop and screening

The Wilson loop can be evaluated as the energy of a string joining two very massive quarks, as a function of its length



This is what one expects from a confining theory with fundamental degrees of freedom: screening

### **Gauge theory features**

#### Seiberg duality

Very schematically Seiberg duality states the identity of the IR dynamics of two different theories with

 $SU(N_c) + N_f$  flavors  $\leftrightarrow SU(N_f - N_c) + N_f$  flavors

In our setup the number of colors is

$$N_c T_5 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}} \int_{\tilde{S}^3} F_3$$

and the number of flavors is fixed by

 $dF_3 \sim N_f \dots$ 

The internal space in our geometry is a fibration of a 3-sphere over a 2-sphere

There is an ambiguity in the identification of the 3-sphere

#### **Seiberg duality**

With one choice for the three-sphere in the geometry we have

$$N_c T_5 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}} \int_{\tilde{S}^3} F_3 \qquad \qquad dF_3 \sim N_f \dots$$

with the other, instead

$$(N_f - N_c) T_5 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{(10)}} \int_{S^3} F_3 \qquad dF_3 \sim N_f \dots$$

which is the way colors and flavors transform under Seiberg duality.

Moreover the two ways of writing the geometry coincide in the IR region: we interpret this as identity of the IR dynamics

Seiberg duality is encoded very naturally in this dual description of N=1 SYM + flavors



- Backreaction of flavor branes by adding an open string sector to the gravity action
- Gravity + branes dual of N=I SQCD + quartic
- Many field theory features match
  - Wilson loop and screening
  - $U(I)_R$  anomaly and  $\beta$  function
  - Seiberg duality
  - x<| and x>|