Tomás Ortín (I.F.T., Madrid)

Seminar given onOctober 9th 2006 at the 2nd Workshop and Midterm Meeting of the RTN Constituents, Fundamental Forces and Symmetries of the Universe

Based on hep-th/0606281 and on work in preparation. Work done in collaboration with Jorge Bellorín and Patrick Meessen (IFT, Madrid, Spain)

Plan of the Talk:

- 1 The 1992 SUSY versus cosmic censorship conjecture
- 2 Supersymmetric but singular solutions
- 4 The 2006 SUSY versus cosmic censorship conjecture
- 5 Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA
- 7 N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA coupled to vector multiplets
- 10 Preliminary results in N = 1, d = 5 SUGRA

Observation:

The **BPS** bound in **SUGRA** theories coincides with the condition of existence of event horizons in static black-hole-type solutions.

Observation:

The **BPS** bound in **SUGRA** theories coincides with the condition of existence of event horizons in static black-hole-type solutions.

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{(r - r_{+})(r - r_{-})}{r^{2}}dt^{2} - \frac{r^{2}}{(r - r_{+})(r - r_{-})}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\Omega_{(2)}^{2},$$

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^{2} - q^{2}}, \implies M^{2} \ge q^{2} \text{ (BPS bound)}.$$

Observation:

The **BPS** bound in **SUGRA** theories coincides with the condition of existence of event horizons in static black-hole-type solutions.

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{(r - r_{+})(r - r_{-})}{r^{2}}dt^{2} - \frac{r^{2}}{(r - r_{+})(r - r_{-})}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\Omega_{(2)}^{2},$$

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^{2} - q^{2}}, \implies M^{2} \ge q^{2} \text{ (BPS bound)}.$$

 \Rightarrow

Supersymmetry works as a cosmic censor (Kallosh, Linde, O., Peet & Van Proeyen (1992)).

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution (Brill, 1964):

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution (Brill, 1964):

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M + iN}{r} \qquad \omega = 2N\cos\theta d\phi.$$

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution (Brill, 1964):

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M + iN}{r} \qquad \omega = 2N\cos\theta d\phi.$$

▷ Wire singularities at $\theta = 0, \pi$.

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution (Brill, 1964):

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M + iN}{r} \qquad \omega = 2N\cos\theta d\phi.$$

- \Rightarrow Wire singularities at $\theta = 0, \pi$.
- ⇒ They are always associated to the integral $\int_{\partial \Sigma^3} d\omega = \int_{\Sigma^3} d^2 \omega = -8\pi N$.

The conjecture fails for the simplest black-hole-type stationary supersymmetric solutions of pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA (Perjés (1971), Israel & Wilson (1972), Tod (1983):

$$ds^{2} = |V|^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - |V|^{-2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$d\omega = i \star_{(3)} |V|^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V} - \frac{1}{V}d\frac{1}{V}\right],$$

$$\nabla^{2}_{(3)}\frac{1}{V} = 0.$$

Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT solution (Brill, 1964):

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M + iN}{r} \qquad \omega = 2N\cos\theta d\phi.$$

- ▷ Wire singularities at $\theta = 0, \pi$.
- ⇒ They are always associated to the integral $\int_{\partial \Sigma^3} d\omega = \int_{\Sigma^3} d^2 \omega = -8\pi N$.
- \Rightarrow They can be removed at the expense of asymptotic flatness (Misner 1963).

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Naked singularity in the ring } x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2 \,, \ z = 0.$

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

⇒ Naked singularity in the ring $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, z = 0. S Multipole solutions M + iN

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{M_n + iN_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|} \,,$$

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

▷ Naked singularity in the ring $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, z = 0.

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{M_n + iN_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|},$$

Always have wire singularities associated to the points at which $d^2\omega \neq 0$, which can be seen as sources of NUT charges N_n .

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

▷ Naked singularity in the ring $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, z = 0.

The Multipole solutions

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{M_n + iN_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|}$$

Always have wire singularities associated to the points at which $d^2\omega \neq 0$, which can be seen as sources of NUT charges N_n .

It was conjectured that the only regular black-hole solutions of this class were the Papapetrou-Majumdar solutions describing static extreme Reissner-Nordström black holes in equilibrium. (Hartle & Hawking, 1972).

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

▷ Naked singularity in the ring $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, z = 0.

The Multipole solutions

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{M_n + iN_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|}$$

Always have wire singularities associated to the points at which $d^2\omega \neq 0$, which can be seen as sources of NUT charges N_n .

It was conjectured that the only regular black-hole solutions of this class were the Papapetrou-Majumdar solutions describing static extreme Reissner-Nordström black holes in equilibrium. (Hartle & Hawking, 1972).

Observe that no microscopic String Theory descriptions of NUT charge or angular momentum (preserving supersymmetry) exist.

 $rac{}$ Supersymmetric (M = |q|) Kerr-Newman solution $J = M\alpha$

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - i\alpha)^2}} \,.$$

▷ Naked singularity in the ring $x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2$, z = 0.

The Multipole solutions

$$V^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{M_n + iN_n}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n|}$$

Always have wire singularities associated to the points at which $d^2\omega \neq 0$, which can be seen as sources of NUT charges N_n .

It was conjectured that the only regular black-hole solutions of this class were the Papapetrou-Majumdar solutions describing static extreme Reissner-Nordström black holes in equilibrium. (Hartle & Hawking, 1972).

Observe that no microscopic String Theory descriptions of NUT charge or angular momentum (preserving supersymmetry) exist.

We will show that only the regular solutions which can be described by String Theory are everywhere supersymmetric).

for asymptotically-flat black-hole-type solutions of N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA theories (Bellorín, Meessen & O., hep-th/0606201).

 ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere \ {\bf supersymmetric}.$ In particular

- ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere {\rm \ supersymmetric}.$ In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied *everywhere*.

- ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere \ {\bf supersymmetric}.$ In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied everywhere. We will see that this always requires $d^2\omega = 0$ everywhere.

- I The solutions must be *everywhere* supersymmetric. In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied everywhere. We will see that this always requires $d^2\omega = 0$ everywhere.
 - 2. The masses of each of the sources of the solutions should be positive.

for asymptotically-flat black-hole-type solutions of N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA theories (Bellorín, Meessen & O., hep-th/0606201).

- ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere \ {\bf supersymmetric}.$ In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied everywhere. We will see that this always requires $d^2\omega = 0$ everywhere.
 - 2. The masses of each of the sources of the solutions should be positive.
- **II** (in presence of scalars) The attractor equations

$$\mathfrak{D}_i \mathcal{Z}|_{Z^i = Z^i_{\text{fix}}} = 0 \,.$$

must be satisfied at each of the sources for admissible values of the scalars (no hair) and the value of the central charge must be finite.

for asymptotically-flat black-hole-type solutions of N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA theories (Bellorín, Meessen & O., hep-th/0606201).

- ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere \ {\bf supersymmetric}.$ In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied everywhere. We will see that this always requires $d^2\omega = 0$ everywhere.
 - 2. The masses of each of the sources of the solutions should be positive.
- II (in presence of scalars) The attractor equations \mathbf{II}

$$\mathfrak{D}_i \mathcal{Z}|_{Z^i = Z^i_{\text{fix}}} = 0.$$

must be satisfied at each of the sources for admissible values of the scalars (no hair) and the value of the central charge must be finite.

These conditions should imply the finiteness and positivity of $-g_{rr}$ everywhere.

for asymptotically-flat black-hole-type solutions of N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA theories (Bellorín, Meessen & O., hep-th/0606201).

- ${\bf I}$ The solutions must be $everywhere \ {\bf supersymmetric}.$ In particular
 - 1. The integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations (KSIs) must be satisfied everywhere. We will see that this always requires $d^2\omega = 0$ everywhere.
 - 2. The masses of each of the sources of the solutions should be positive.
- **II** (in presence of scalars) The attractor equations

$$\mathfrak{D}_i \mathcal{Z}|_{Z^i = Z^i_{\text{fix}}} = 0.$$

must be satisfied at each of the sources for admissible values of the scalars (no hair) and the value of the central charge must be finite.

These conditions should imply the finiteness and positivity of $-g_{rr}$ everywhere. Now let us see how these conditions select regular solutions that can be described microscopically by String Theory.

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = {}^{\star}\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^{\star}\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

 $\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = *\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*.

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = {}^{\star}\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^{\star}\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*. The KSIs become constraints for supersymmetric *sources*:

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = {}^{\star}\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^{\star}\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*. The KSIs become constraints for supersymmetric *sources*:

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0m} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.}$

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = {}^{\star}\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^{\star}\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*. The KSIs become constraints for supersymmetric *sources*:

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0m} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^m = {}^*\mathcal{B}^m = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of electric or magnetic dipole momenta.}$

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = *\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*. The KSIs become constraints for supersymmetric *sources*:

- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0m} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^m = {}^*\mathcal{B}^m = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of electric or magnetic dipole momenta.}$
- ⇒ $\Im[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 i^*\mathcal{B}^0)] \sim d^2\omega = 0 \Rightarrow$ no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.

4 - Pure N = 2, d = 4 SUGRA

KSIs: relation between the equations of motion of the bosonic fields $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$ evaluated on supersymmetric configurations.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^m = *\mathcal{B}^m = \Im m[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)] = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{00} = \Re e[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 - i^*\mathcal{B}^0)].$$

If we deal with classical solutions, then $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu} = 0$ and ,where this is not so (singularities), we can think on the presence of *sources*. The KSIs become constraints for supersymmetric *sources*:

- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0m} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^m = {}^*\mathcal{B}^m = 0 \Rightarrow \text{no sources of electric or magnetic dipole momenta.}$
- ⇒ $\Im[e^{-i\alpha}(\mathcal{E}^0 i^*\mathcal{B}^0)] \sim d^2\omega = 0 \Rightarrow$ no sources of angular momentum or NUT charge.

These are precisely the supersymmetric sources String Theory does not account for.

This excludes the pathological solutions because they correspond to *sources* of angular momentum, NUT charge and dipole momenta.

This excludes the pathological solutions because they correspond to *sources* of angular momentum, **NUT** charge and dipole momenta.

Solutions with angular momentum and dipole momenta are not excluded *a priori*, but their sources must be static electric and magnetic monopoles (Reissner-Nordström black holes).

This excludes the pathological solutions because they correspond to *sources* of angular momentum, **NUT** charge and dipole momenta.

Solutions with angular momentum and dipole momenta are not excluded *a priori*, but their sources must be static electric and magnetic monopoles (Reissner-Nordström black holes).

This is exactly the opposite to what happens with nuclear magnetic dipole momenta which always correspond to dipole sources (spin) and not to pairs of magnetic monopoles (Jackson (1977)).

This excludes the pathological solutions because they correspond to *sources* of angular momentum, **NUT** charge and dipole momenta.

Solutions with angular momentum and dipole momenta are not excluded *a priori*, but their sources must be static electric and magnetic monopoles (Reissner-Nordström black holes).

This is exactly the opposite to what happens with nuclear magnetic dipole momenta which always correspond to dipole sources (spin) and not to pairs of magnetic monopoles (Jackson (1977)).

It is, however, possible to show that in pure N = 2, d = 4, monopole sources satisfying all the supersymmetry constrains will only give rise to static fields, proving the conjecture made by Hartle and Hawking. This excludes the pathological solutions because they correspond to *sources* of angular momentum, **NUT** charge and dipole momenta.

Solutions with angular momentum and dipole momenta are not excluded *a priori*, but their sources must be static electric and magnetic monopoles (Reissner-Nordström black holes).

This is exactly the opposite to what happens with nuclear magnetic dipole momenta which always correspond to dipole sources (spin) and not to pairs of magnetic monopoles (Jackson (1977)).

It is, however, possible to show that in pure N = 2, d = 4, monopole sources satisfying all the supersymmetry constrains will only give rise to static fields, proving the conjecture made by Hartle and Hawking.

To have solutions with angular momentum we need to add matter fields.

The KSIs are basically identical in terms of symplectic-invariant combinations of electric and magnetic charges and dipole momenta.

The KSIs are basically identical in terms of symplectic-invariant combinations of electric and magnetic charges and dipole momenta.

Again, one of them is related to $d^2\omega = 0$ (Denef (2000), Denef & Bates (2003)).

The KSIs are basically identical in terms of symplectic-invariant combinations of electric and magnetic charges and dipole momenta.

Again, one of them is related to $d^2\omega = 0$ (Denef (2000), Denef & Bates (2003)).

There is a new KSI involving the equations of motion of the scalars $\mathcal{E}_{i^*} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta Z^{*i^*}}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{U}_{i^*}^* \mid \mathcal{E}^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{i^*}.$$

The KSIs are basically identical in terms of symplectic-invariant combinations of electric and magnetic charges and dipole momenta.

Again, one of them is related to $d^2\omega = 0$ (Denef (2000), Denef & Bates (2003)).

There is a new KSI involving the equations of motion of the scalars $\mathcal{E}_{i^*} \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta Z^{*i^*}}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{U}_{i^*}^* \mid \mathcal{E}^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{i^*}.$$

This equation means that when the attractor equations are satisfied there are no scalar sources, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_{i^*} = 0$ anywhere. It is not known how to account for these sources in String Theory.

An explicit example for the prepotential $\mathcal{F} = -i\mathcal{X}^0\mathcal{X}^1$: choose the four real harmonic functions

An explicit example for the prepotential $\mathcal{F} = -i\mathcal{X}^0\mathcal{X}^1$: choose the four real harmonic functions

$$egin{array}{rll} \mathcal{I}^0 &=& rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+rac{q}{r_1}+rac{q}{r_2}\,, & r_{1,2}\equiv |ec x-ec x_{1,2}|\,, \ \mathcal{I}^1 &=& rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+rac{8q}{r_1}+rac{8q}{r_2}\,, & \ \mathcal{I}_0 &=& -rac{4q}{r_2}\,, & \ \mathcal{I}_1 &=& -rac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}-rac{q}{r_1}+rac{q}{r_2}\,, \end{array}$$

where q > 0,

An explicit example for the prepotential $\mathcal{F} = -i\mathcal{X}^0\mathcal{X}^1$: choose the four real harmonic functions

where q > 0, leads to the metric component

$$-g_{rr} = 1 + \frac{9\sqrt{2q}}{r_1} + \frac{10\sqrt{2q}}{r_2} + \frac{16q^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{8q^2}{r_2^2} + \frac{40q^2}{r_1r_2},$$

which is finite everywhere outside $r_{1,2} = 0$.

An explicit example for the prepotential $\mathcal{F} = -i\mathcal{X}^0\mathcal{X}^1$: choose the four real harmonic functions

$$egin{array}{rll} \mathcal{I}^{0} &=& rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+rac{q}{r_{1}}+rac{q}{r_{2}}\,, & r_{1,2}\equiv |ec x-ec x_{1,2}|\,, \ \mathcal{I}^{1} &=& rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+rac{8q}{r_{1}}+rac{8q}{r_{2}}\,, & \ \mathcal{I}_{0} &=& -rac{4q}{r_{2}}\,, & \ \mathcal{I}_{1} &=& -rac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}-rac{q}{r_{1}}+rac{q}{r_{2}}\,, & \ \end{array}$$

where q > 0, leads to the metric component

$$-g_{rr} = 1 + \frac{9\sqrt{2}\mathbf{q}}{r_1} + \frac{10\sqrt{2}\mathbf{q}}{r_2} + \frac{16\mathbf{q}^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{8\mathbf{q}^2}{r_2^2} + \frac{40\mathbf{q}^2}{r_1r_2},$$

which is finite everywhere outside $r_{1,2} = 0$. In particular the "mass" of each of the two objects is positive

$$M_1 = 9\mathbf{q}/\sqrt{2}, \qquad M_2 = 5\sqrt{2}\mathbf{q}, \qquad M = M_1 + M_2 = 19\mathbf{q}/\sqrt{2},$$

In the $r_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ limits we find spheres of finite areas

$$\frac{A_1}{4\pi} = 16q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},1}|^2, \qquad \frac{A_2}{4\pi} = 8q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},2}|^2$$

October 9th 2006

In the $r_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ limits we find spheres of finite areas

$$\frac{A_1}{4\pi} = 16q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},1}|^2, \qquad \frac{A_2}{4\pi} = 8q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},2}|^2$$

The total horizon area is

$$\frac{A}{4\pi} = \frac{A_1}{4\pi} + \frac{A_2}{4\pi} = 24q^2 < 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix,tot}}|^2 = 64q^2,$$

which is the area of the horizon of a single black hole having the sum of the charges of the two black holes.

In the $r_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ limits we find spheres of finite areas

$$\frac{A_1}{4\pi} = 16q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},1}|^2, \qquad \frac{A_2}{4\pi} = 8q^2 = 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix},2}|^2$$

The total horizon area is

$$\frac{A}{4\pi} = \frac{A_1}{4\pi} + \frac{A_2}{4\pi} = 24q^2 < 2|\mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix,tot}}|^2 = 64q^2,$$

which is the area of the horizon of a single black hole having the sum of the charges of the two black holes.

To have zero NUT charge, we must fix

$$r_{12} \equiv |\vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1| = 12\sqrt{2}q$$
.

The angular momentum is, then, finite and given by

$$|J| = 12q^2.$$

6 – Preliminary results in N = 1, d = 5 SUGRA

KSIs different: there are regular supersymmetric rotating black holes and rings.

6 - Preliminary results in N = 1, d = 5 SUGRA

KSIs different: there are regular supersymmetric rotating black holes and rings.

$$\mathcal{E}^{m0} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}h^I \mathcal{E}_I{}^m, \longrightarrow \text{ angular and electric dipole momenta}$$

 $h_x^I \mathcal{E}_I^0 = \mathcal{E}_x, \longrightarrow \text{no scalar sources in attractor}$

6 – Preliminary results in N = 1, d = 5 SUGRA

KSIs different: there are regular supersymmetric rotating black holes and rings.

$$\mathcal{E}^{m0} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}h^I \mathcal{E}_I{}^m, \longrightarrow \text{ angular and electric dipole momenta}$$

 $h_x^I \mathcal{E}_I^0 = \mathcal{E}_x, \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{no scalar sources in attractor}$

The metrics of black holes and rings are of the form

$$ds^{2} = f^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - f^{-1}h_{\underline{mn}}dx^{m}dx^{n}.$$

6 – Preliminary results in N = 1, d = 5 SUGRA

KSIs different: there are regular supersymmetric rotating black holes and rings.

$$\mathcal{E}^{m0} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}h^I \mathcal{E}_I^m, \longrightarrow \text{angular and electric dipole momenta}$$

 $h_x^I \mathcal{E}_I^0 = \mathcal{E}_x, \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{no scalar sources in attractor}$

The metrics of black holes and rings are of the form

$$ds^{2} = f^{2}(dt + \omega)^{2} - f^{-1}h_{\underline{mn}}dx^{m}dx^{n}.$$

The 1st KSI is the integrability of the ω equation

$$\mathcal{E}^{m0} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} h^{I} \mathcal{E}_{I}^{\ m} = \frac{1}{2} f^{-5/2} [\star_{4} d^{2} \omega]^{m} ,$$

which is satisfied by regular supersymmetric black hole (Breckenridge, Myers, Peet & Vafa (1996)) and ring (Elvang, Emparan, Mateos & Reall, (2004)) solutions.